



WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CHAIRMAN JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER



RECEIVED SECRETARY

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2001 AUG 10 P 3: 21

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

DATE:

AUGUST 10, 2001

DOCKET NO:

T-03985A-01-0086

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Stephen Gibelli. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

TELMEX USA, L.L.C. (CC&N/RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by <u>4:00 p.m.</u> on or before:

AUGUST 20, 2001

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

AUGUST 28, 2001 AND AUGUST 29, 2001

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AUG 1 0 2001

DOCKETED BY

Mar

BRIAN C. McNEIL

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CHAIRMAN JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TELMEX USA, L.L.C. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES DOCKET NO. T-03985A-01-0086
DECISION NO. _____

ORDER

Open Meeting

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

August 28 and 29, 2001

Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On January 29, 2001, Telmex USA, L.L.C. ("Applicant" or "Telmex") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services within the State of Arizona.
- 2. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold telecommunications providers ("resellers") were public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.
 - 3. Applicant is a Delaware corporation, authorized to do business in Arizona since 1997.
- 4. Applicant is a switchless reseller, which purchases telecommunications services from a variety of carriers.
- 5. On April 5, 2001, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff Report in this matter. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that Telmex USA, L.L.C. provided financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2000. These financial statements list assets of \$16.5 million, total equity of \$5.2 million, and a net income of \$2.8 million. Based on the foregoing, Staff

26

27

believes that Telmex has sufficient financial resources to be allowed to charge customers any prepayments, advances, or deposits without posting a performance bond to cover such customer prepayments, advances, or deposits. Staff recommended approval of the application subject to the following conditions, that:

- (a) The Applicant shall comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
- (b) The Applicant shall maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
- (c) The Applicant shall file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
- (d) The Applicant shall maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
- (e) The Applicant shall comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
- (f) The Applicant shall cooperate with Commission investigations of customers complaints;
- (g) The Applicant shall participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as required by the Commission;
- (h) The Applicant shall notify the Commission immediately upon changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;
- (i) The Applicant's intrastate interexchange service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- (j) The rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recently filed tariffs should be approved on an interim basis. The maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing those services;
- (k) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate, and;
- (l) The Applicant shall certify that all notification requirements have been

completed.

- 6. Staff also recommended approval of Telmex USA, L.L.C.'s application subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) That the Applicant file conforming tariffs within 30 days of an Order in this matter, and in accordance with the Decision;
 - (b) That the Applicant file in this Docket, within 18 months of the date it first provides service following certification, sufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding, as well as for an analysis and recommendation for permanent tariff approval. This information must include, at a minimum, the following:
 - 1. A dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by Telmex USA, L.L.C. following certification, adjusted to reflect the maximum rates that the Applicant has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.
 - 2. The total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification.
 - 3. The value of all assets, listed by major category, including a description of the assets, used for the first twelve months of telecommunications services provided to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list.
 - (c) Telmex USA, L.L.C.'s failure to meet the condition to timely file sufficient information for a fair value finding and analysis and recommendation of permanent tariffs shall result in the expiration of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity and of the tariffs.
- 7. The Staff Report also stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
- 8. On April 23, 2001, a Procedural Order was issued requiring exceptions to the Staff Report or a request that a hearing be set, to be filed by July 11, 2001. No exceptions were filed to the Staff Report, nor did any party request that a hearing be set. This Procedural Order also granted intervention to Qwest Corporation.
 - 9. On May 18, 2001, Telmex filed Affidavits of Publication indicating compliance with

the Commission's notice requirements.

- 10. On August 29, 2000, the Arizona Court issued its Opinion in <u>US WEST</u> <u>Communications, Inc. v. Arizona Corporation Commission</u>, 1 CA-CV 98-0672, holding that "the Arizona Constitution requires the Commission to determine fair value rate bases for all public service corporations in Arizona prior to setting their rates and charges."
- 11. On October 26, 2000, the Commission filed a Petition for Review to the Supreme Court.
 - 12. On February 13, 2001, the Commission's Petition was granted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the public interest.
- 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate for providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.
- 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 5 and 6 are reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Telmex USA, L.L.C. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is hereby granted, as conditioned herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Telmex USA, L.L.C. shall comply with Staff's recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 5 and 6.

1				
1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision,			
2	Telmex USA, L.L.C. shall notify the Compliance Section of the Arizona Corporation Commission of			
3	the date that it will begin or has begun providing service to Arizona customers.			
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.			
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.			
6				
7				
8	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER			
9				
10				
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have			
12	hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix.			
13	this day of, 2001.			
14				
15	BRIAN C. McNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY			
16				
17	DISSENT SG:dp			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1		
2	SERVICE LIST FOR:	TELMEX USA, L.L.C.
3	DOCKET NO.:	T-03852A-00-1066
4	Thomas K. Dawson, Director of Regulatory Affairs	
5	Telmex USA, L.L.C. 5566 Southwyck Boulevard	- -
6	Telmex, Ohio 46314	
7	Thomas M. Forte Technologies and Management, Inc.	
8	210 Park Ave. Winter Park, Florida 32789	
9	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	
10	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12	Steve Olea, Acting Director Utilities Division	
13 14	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		